



**NAUGATUCK POLICE
DEPARTMENT**
**POLICY AND PROCEDURE
GENERAL ORDER**

Distribution

ALL PERSONNEL

General Order
Number

4.02

Original Issue Date

01/31/2025

Reissue/Effective
Date

MM/DD/YY

Order Title:

**INVESTIGATING MISCONDUCT AND
CITIZEN COMPLAINTS**

Accreditation Standard:

POSTC: 1.5.8; 1.5.9; 2.8.3;
2.6.12; 2.6.13; 3.3.24

CALEA: 26.1.8; 26.2.5;
26.3.1; 26.3.2; 26.3.3;
26.3.4; 26.3.5; 26.3.6;
26.3.8

Section

4

Rescinds: 6.1;

Section Title:

DISCIPLINARY PROCESS

C. Colin McAllister, Chief of Police

This General Order is for departmental use only and does not apply in any criminal or civil proceeding. This General Order should not be construed as creation of a higher legal standard of safety or care in an evidentiary sense with respect to third party claims. Violations of this General Order will only form the basis for departmental administrative sanctions. Violations of law will form the basis for civil and criminal sanctions in a recognized judicial setting.

I. PURPOSE

The purpose of this policy is to provide all Naugatuck Police Department (“Department”) employees, and the public, the procedures for processing and investigating allegations of officer misconduct or citizen complaints.

II. POLICY

The Naugatuck Police Department’s public image is determined by a professional response to misconduct allegations against its employees. Establishing procedures for investigating complaints is crucial to demonstrate and protect the Department’s integrity. This Department shall accept and fairly and impartially investigate all complaints or allegations of misconduct to determine their validity, and to timely impose any disciplinary or non-disciplinary corrective actions that may be warranted. It is the Department’s policy to investigate every instance of alleged misconduct against a member of this Department, whether criminal or administrative in nature, in accordance with federal or local laws, and Department policies and procedures. The Chief of Police is responsible for the overall administration of citizen complaints and employee misconduct investigations.

The Office of the Chief shall be responsible for investigating all allegations of serious misconduct and use of force incidents pursuant to Department policies and procedures. The Chief will have the authority to utilize resources outside the department to conduct investigations including retaining outside investigators.

III. DEFINITIONS

Complaint: Any allegation by an individual regarding Naugatuck Police Department services, policies, practices or procedures; claims for damages which allege officer misconduct, or officer misconduct; and any allegation of possible misconduct made by a Naugatuck Police officer.

Complainant: Any person who files a complaint regarding the conduct of any Department employee, or the Naugatuck Police Department's policies, procedures, or action.

Complaint Control Number: A sequential number used to identify and track citizen complaint investigations.

Class 1 Complaints: Serious allegations, including alleged criminal conduct that has the potential to damage the reputation of the Department or its personnel.

Class 2 Complaints: Less serious allegations that warrant an investigation, but do not rise to the level of a more serious complaint.

Class 3 Complaints: Minor complaints by a citizen desiring to make an informal complaint against an employee, generally involving an employee's conduct and/or behavior.

Class 4 Complaints: Minor Service complaints by a citizen who contacts the Department questioning, or informally complaining, about a policy, procedure, or tactic used by the Department or an employee.

Critical Firearm Discharge: A firearm discharge by a Naugatuck Police officer but does not include range and training discharges and discharges at animals.

Counseling: Information relayed to an employee by a ranking officer or training officer, in which the information relayed points out strengths, weaknesses, or training needs, or offers the employee the opportunity to improve performance. Counseling is not disciplinary by itself but may progressively lead to discipline.

Discipline: A written reprimand, suspension, demotion, or dismissal.

Employee: Any person employed by the Naugatuck Police Department, whether sworn or non-sworn, part-time or full-time.

External Complaint: A complaint that originates from outside the department.

Internal Complaint: A complaint that originates from within the Department. Such complaints may be initiated by other Naugatuck Police Department employees or from supervisors who observed, or were informed by other employees, of possible policy violations.

Misconduct: Any conduct by a Naugatuck Police Department employee that violates Department policy or the law

Officers: Any law enforcement officer employed by or assigned to the Naugatuck Police Department, whether on or off duty, including supervisors and members authorized to carry department-issued weapons.

Preponderance of the Evidence Standard: The quantum of evidence that constitutes preponderance cannot be reduced to a simple formula. A preponderance of evidence has been described as just enough evidence, no matter how slight, to make it more likely than not that the allegation sought to be proved is true or false.

Serious Misconduct: Suspected criminal misconduct and the specific forms of misconduct identified below in Section IV.D. Such conduct shall be investigated by the Office of the Chief of Police and the Criminal Investigation Division of the Attorney General's Office.

Serious Use of Force: Any action by an officer that involves: 1) the use of deadly force, including all critical firearm discharges; 2) a use of force in which the person suffers serious bodily injury, or requires hospital admission; 3) a canine bite; and 4) the use of chemical spray or Electronic Control Weapon against a restrained person.

Summary Action: Disciplinary action (oral reprimand or counseling documented in writing) taken by an officer's supervisor or commander for minor violations of department rules, policies, or procedures defined by this department. Summary actions are the lowest level of disciplinary action generally handled by first-line supervisors.

Supervisor: Includes those holding the rank of Sergeant, or anyone acting in those capacities, any other sworn or non-sworn manager authorized to carry department-issued weapon(s), or any other individual authorized by the Chief.

Use of Force Incidents Indicating Potential Criminal Liability: Includes, but is not limited to, all strikes, blows, kicks, or other similar uses of force against a handcuffed subject, and all accusations or complaints of excessive force.

IV. PROCEDURE

A. Investigations of Citizens Complaints

1. General

- a. All investigations shall, to the extent reasonably possible, determine whether the officer's conduct was justified. No investigation being conducted by the Office of the Chief shall be closed simply because a subject or complainant is unavailable, unwilling, or unable to cooperate, including a refusal to provide medical records or proof of injury;

- b. All investigations shall be conducted by a supervisor who did not authorize, witness, or participate in the incident, and all investigations shall contain:
 - 1) Documentation of the name and badge number of all officers involved in, or on the scene during, the incident, and a canvass of the scene to identify civilian witnesses;
 - 2) Thorough and complete interviews of all witnesses, subject to the Naugatuck Police Department's *Garrity* Protocol, and an effort to resolve material inconsistencies between witness statements;
 - 3) Photographs of the subject(s) and officer(s) injuries or alleged injuries; and
 - 4) Documentation of any medical care provided.

B. The Office of the Chief of Police

1. Upon receipt of the Complaint Form, the complaint will be reviewed and classified in its proper category for assignment. Categories are identified in Section C below. The Chief of Police will be notified of all complaints made against the agency or employees within the agency.
2. The investigating Supervisor shall evaluate complaints of criminal conduct made against Naugatuck Police Department employees, and report findings to the Chief of Police. The Chief of Police will authorize transfer of the criminal allegation investigation to the States Attorney's Office. A parallel Internal Affairs Investigation will be conducted to ensure no administrative or departmental violations have occurred, but it may be delayed until the criminal investigation is resolved.
3. Upon completion of the Internal Affairs Investigation Report, the report and all supporting documents shall be forwarded to the Chief of Police, or designee, for review. The Chief may accept the report as completed or return the report for further investigation.
4. The Chief of Police or designee shall have the following additional responsibilities:
 - a. Maintain a complaint log both documentary and electronically;
 - b. Maintain a central file for complaints in a secured area, and in conformity with records retention requirements of local law;

- c. Conduct a regular audit of complaints to ascertain the need for changes in training or policy;
- d. Maintain statistical and related information to identify trends involving all complaints of use of force, officer misconduct, and/or abuse of authority;
- e. Track complaints against individual employees to assist in employee risk analysis;
- f. Provide the Chief of Police, managers, and/or supervisors with quarterly summaries of complaints and final dispositions against officers;
- g. Compile annual statistical summaries of complaints and internal investigations and make the information available to the public and agency employees.

C. Complaint Categories

The following chart depicts the types of complaints, which are defined by the seriousness of the allegation, along with whom the complaint is generally investigated and reviewed by:

TYPES	DESCRIPTION	EXAMPLES	GENERALLY HANDLED BY*
CLASS 1	Allegations that have the potential to damage the reputation of the Department or its personnel, and generally include, but are not limited to, allegations of serious misconduct, serious violations of Standards of Conduct and other written directives, or criminal conduct.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Excessive and/or improper use of force • Brutality • False arrest • Unlawful search and/or seizure • Corruption • Dishonesty and untruthfulness • Gross Insubordination • Violation of civil rights • Bias-based profiling • Sexual harassment • Workplace violence • Violation of criminal statutes 	THE OFFICE OF THE CHIEF Formal and Documented with Written Statements and Tape/Video Recorded Interviews DETECTIVE BUREAU AND/OR OUTSIDE AGENCY INCLUDING PRIVATE INVESTIGATOR
CLASS 2	Allegations that generally include, but are not limited to, allegations of a non-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Violation of policies, procedures or rules, other than those which constitute a Class I Allegation 	OFFICE OF THE CHIEF OR DESIGNEE

	serious nature and violations of Standards of Conduct and other written directives of a non-serious nature.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Inappropriate conduct and/or behavior of a less serious nature, such as rudeness, courtesy, and offensive language Violation of personnel rules 	Formal and Documented with Written Statements
CLASS 3	Minor complaints by a citizen desiring to make an informal complaint against an employee, generally involving an employee's conduct and/or behavior.	When a citizen complains about an employee's behavior, such as rudeness or demeanor, but does not wish to file an official formal complaint.	FIRST-LINE SUPERVISOR Informal and Documented as Informational Purposes Only
CLASS 4	Minor complaints by a citizen who contacts the Department questioning or informally complaining about a policy, procedure, or tactic used by the Department or an employee.	When a citizen questions or complaints about the <i>procedures or tactics</i> used by the Department or employee, such as on-scene command presence, or why handcuffs were used when detaining a subject, but does not wish to file an official formal complaint.	FIRST-LINE SUPERVISOR Informal and Documented as Informational Purposes Only

* *The Department is not prohibited from using outside investigators including private vendors as necessary and consistent with Borough policies in order to thoroughly and objectively complete Departmental investigations.*

D. Serious Misconduct

The Naugatuck Police Department understands Serious Misconduct to mean suspected criminal misconduct and the following misconduct:

1. All civil suits alleging any misconduct by an officer while acting in an official capacity;
2. All civil suits against an officer for off-duty conduct (while not acting in an official capacity) alleging physical violence, threats of physical violence, racial bias, dishonesty, or fraud;
3. All criminal arrests or filing of criminal charges against an officer;
4. All allegations of unlawful discrimination (e.g., on the basis of race, ethnicity, gender, religion, national origin, sexual orientation, or disability), including improper ethnic remarks and gender bias, but excluding employment discrimination;

5. All allegations of an unlawful search and seizure;
6. All allegations of false arrests or filing of false charges;
7. Any act of retaliation or retribution against an officer or person;
8. Any act of retaliation or retribution against a person for filing a complaint against a member;
9. All allegations of excessive use of force or improper threat of force (including strikes, blows, kicks, or other similar uses of force against a compliant subject or administered with a punitive purpose);
10. Any failure to complete use of force reports required by Naugatuck Police Department policies and procedures;
11. The providing of false or incomplete information during the course of a Naugatuck Police Department investigation, or in any report, log, or similar document;
12. All incidents in which (1) an Officer charges a person with assault on a police Officer or resisting arrest or disorderly conduct, and (2) the States Attorney's Office (SA) notifies the Naugatuck Police Department that it is dismissing the charge based upon Officer credibility, or a judge dismissed the charge based upon Officer credibility; or
13. All incidents in which the Naugatuck Police Department has received written notification from the SA in a criminal case that there has been: (1) an order suppressing evidence because of any constitutional violation involving potential misconduct by a Naugatuck Police Department Officer, or (2) any other judicial finding of Officer misconduct made in the course of a judicial proceeding, or any request by a federal judge, local judge, or magistrate that a misconduct investigation be initiated pursuant to some information developed during a judicial proceeding before a judge or magistrate. Naugatuck Police Department shall request that all such entities provide them with written notification whenever it has been determined that any of the above has occurred.

E. Investigation of Public Complaints: Supervisor's Role/Responsibility

1. The supervisor assigned to investigate a citizen complaint shall ensure the following protocols are applied in all investigations:
 - a. Every complaint must be investigated and evaluated based on the Preponderance of Evidence standard.

- b. Any supervisor who is the subject of a complaint, or who authorized the conduct that led to the complaint, is explicitly prohibited from investigating said incident.
 - c. Supervisors shall ensure that all officers who use force, or are involved in the use of force, shall submit a written statement regarding the incident, using the Use of Force Report form. The investigating supervisor will obtain statements from officers who witnessed a use of force.
 - d. During the investigation of a citizen complaint, all relevant evidence including circumstantial, direct, and physical will be considered, and credibility determinations made, if feasible. An officer's statements will not be given any automatic preference over a citizen's statement, nor will a witness' statement be disregarded on account that the witness is connected to the complainant. Every effort will be made to resolve material inconsistencies or discrepancies between witness statements and other collected evidence.
 - e. The Supervisor will not close an investigation because the complaint is withdrawn, the alleged victim is unwilling or unable to provide medical records or proof of injury, or the complainant will not provide additional medical statements or written statements.
 - f. The Supervisor will consider whether any rule, policy, or procedure of the Naugatuck Police Department was violated.
 - g. Conduct an investigation in accordance with the procedures outlined in this policy.
2. At the conclusion of the investigation the supervisor shall prepare an investigative report in accordance with the requirements outlined in this policy.

F. Employee Responsibilities to Report Misconduct

1. An employee must report any instances of employee misconduct as soon as practicable following receipt of information regarding the misconduct.
2. In applicable circumstances, employees are to prevent the deterioration or destruction of any evidence supporting or refuting the allegation of misconduct.

G. Confidentiality of Complaints

1. All employees who have knowledge that a complaint has been submitted, or will likely occur, are prohibited from discussing material issues related to the matter.

2. Exemptions include: employees subject to the investigation when consulting with Union or legal representation, in accordance with supervisory directives, testifying at an official hearing regarding the matter or otherwise authorized by law, policy, or regulation.

H. Time Limits on Completing Internal Affairs Investigations

1. Generally, the Department should strive to complete internal investigations as soon as practical. In cases of formal investigations, it may be possible to complete such an investigation within a few days or a calendar week. Once assigned, administrative investigations by a supervisor should be completed and forwarded to the Chief of Police for review within twenty (20) days.
2. Administrative investigations shall be a priority for the Department and should be expeditiously investigated and reviewed. These investigations should be completed and forwarded to the Chief of Police for review within thirty (30) days. The Chief of Police may waive the 30-day requirement for complex investigations and investigations involving extenuating circumstances.
3. Time limits governing disciplinary action that may arise from internal investigations for police officers and civilian employees shall comply with any applicable State or Federal statutes, Borough of Naugatuck Ordinances, and provisions of the respective labor agreements for sworn and non-sworn employees.
4. Supervisors shall be held accountable for the quality of their investigations. Appropriate non-disciplinary corrective action and/or disciplinary action will be taken when a supervisor fails to conduct a timely and thorough investigation, neglects to recommend appropriate corrective action, or neglects to implement appropriate corrective action.

I. Notifying Complainant Regarding Status of Complaint Investigation

1. Upon receipt of a complaint, the investigating supervisor shall provide a copy of the Complaint Form to the complainant, acknowledging its receipt within 5 days. For formal investigations, the investigating supervisor will also send a letter to the complainant (if known) under the signature of the Chief of Police, acknowledging its receipt. In some cases, this may be accomplished in person and/or via telephone. A written letter, however, should still be sent to the complainant for additional verification of receipt of the complaint.
2. The assigned investigator of an internal affairs case is responsible for providing periodic status reports to complainants on all pending internal investigations. Generally, investigators should maintain periodic contact with their complainants and provide them with status reports on the investigation, as far

as practical. Such contact can be accomplished by telephone or email, in lieu of a written letter.

3. For formal or informal investigations, the reporting party shall be notified as indicated below, by the Chief of Police or designee, informing him/her of the results of the Department's investigation. Such notification should generally occur within ten (10) days after the conclusion of the investigation and the determination by the Chief of Police of the "Finding of Facts."

J. Investigative Interviews and Procedures of Officers

1. Prior to being interviewed, the officer against whom a complaint has been made shall be provided written notice of the allegations of the complaint and the employee's rights and responsibilities relative to the investigation.
2. All interviews will be conducted while the Officer is on duty, unless the seriousness of the investigation or other justifiable reason is such that an immediate interview is required. (*refer to applicable CBA*)
3. During interviews, one primary interviewer will be designated.
4. The complete interview shall be electronically recorded. The recording will note the time at which breaks are taken in the interview process, who requested the break, and the time at which the interview resumed.
5. The Officer shall be provided with the name, rank, and command of all persons present during the questioning.
6. Subject to the approval of the Chief of Police, Officers will be administered the applicable Warnings prior to interview:
 - a. Garrity Warnings: For compelled statements if the inquiry is administrative.
 - b. Miranda Warnings: Where the inquiry is criminal and the officer is under arrest or in custody.
7. Officers may have Union representation during the Internal Interview.
 - a. Officers, under internal (administrative) review, may have present a union representative with them during any administrative internal investigative interrogation so long as the representative is not involved in any manner (*i.e. a witness or subject of the complaint*) with the incident under investigation, or a conflict of interest does not exist. (*Note: refer to applicable CBA*)

- b. The representative's role is primarily that of an observer. It shall not be permitted to interrupt the interview except to advise and/or confer with the officer who is concerned about a contractual right.
- c. In criminal investigations the employee has the right to talk to legal counsel or to have one present during questioning.

8. Examinations and Searches

- a. The department may issue a direct order that the officer undergoes an intoxilizer, blood, urine, psychological, polygraph, medical examination or any other exam, including submission of financial disclosure statements that are not prohibited by law, if it is believed that such an examination is pertinent to the investigation, so long as they do not violate law, or any CBA.
- b. An on-duty supervisor may order an officer to submit to a breath, blood, or urine test when there is reasonable suspicion that alcohol and/or drug usage is suspected as the factor directly related to allegations of misconduct, and is required to submit to such tests as the result of either being involved in a traffic accident with a department vehicle or involved in a discharge of a firearm on or off-duty.
- c. An officer may be ordered to participate in a lineup if it is used solely for administrative purposes. This does not in any way affect the requirements of a legal order to participate. This includes photographic or audio/video recording identifications if the investigator deems it necessary.
- d. Desks, lockers, storage space, rooms, offices, equipment, information systems, work areas, and vehicles are the property of the Borough of Naugatuck and are subject to inspection. They may also be searched to retrieve Borough-owned property, or to discover evidence of work-related misconduct, if there is reason to suspect (*reasonable suspicion*) such evidence is contained therein.
- e. Private property can be stored in the abovementioned areas; however, employees will not expect privacy in those areas. Only those employees who are acting in their official capacity may be authorized to search or inspect areas assigned to other employees.

K. Disposition and Adjudication of Complaints

- 1. All investigations into citizen complaint allegations of misconduct require review and disposition by the officer's chain of command.

2. All citizen complaint allegations of officer misconduct will be fully investigated and documented.
3. All summary actions shall be documented; and copies and disposition(s) provided to the subject officer. Copies may, where appropriate, be incorporated into the employee's performance evaluation.
4. Once the investigation is deemed complete, the supervisor shall review the complaint report and investigative findings. This authority will compile a report of findings and make a "conclusion of fact" for each allegation and provide a disposition for each allegation as follows:
 - a. ***Sustained***: where the investigation determines, by preponderance of the evidence, that the person's allegation is supported by sufficient evidence to determine that the incident occurred; and the actions of the officer were improper;
 - b. ***Not Sustained***: where the investigation determines, by a preponderance of the evidence, that there is insufficient fact(s) to decide whether the alleged misconduct occurred;
 - c. ***Exonerated***: where the investigation determines, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the alleged conduct did occur but did not violate Naugatuck Police Department policies, procedures, or training; or
 - d. ***Unfounded***: where the investigation determines, by a preponderance of the evidence, that there are no facts to support that the incident complained of actually occurred.
5. Investigative findings shall also include whether:
 - (i) The police action complied with policy, training, and legal standards regardless of whether the complainant suffered harm;
 - (ii) the incident involved misconduct by any officer;
 - (iii) the use of different tactics should or could have been employed;
 - (iv) the incident indicates a need for additional training, counsel, or other non-disciplinary corrective measures; and
 - (v) The incident suggests that the Naugatuck Police Officers should revise its policies, training, and tactics.

6. Disciplinary action shall be administered in accordance with Department policy and the applicable CBA.
7. Following the final disposition of the complaint, a letter shall be sent to the complainant, addressed from the Chief or their designee, explaining the final disposition.
8. Whenever reasonably possible, the investigation of complaints should be completed within ninety (90) days from the time the department knew, or should have known, about the alleged violation; unless a stay is granted by the Chief of Police, or another time frame is required by departmental policy, law, or applicable CBA.
9. Final Disciplinary Authority is vested in the Chief of Police.
10. In cases where probable cause exists to believe that a fraudulent complaint was logged (*officially documented*) in violation of Connecticut law, the case may be referred to the State Attorney's Office for a prosecutorial determination.

L. Internal Affairs Records and Confidentiality

1. The Chief's Office shall be informed of all final disciplinary decisions.
2. Investigating Officers shall forward a copy of all final disciplinary decisions to the Department's personnel authority.
3. Internal Affairs case files and information shall be maintained separately from personnel records.
4. Internal Affairs information is considered confidential and will be retained under secured conditions within the Evidence Room.
 - a. Internal Affairs case files and personnel dispositions may not be released to any source without prior approval of the Chief, unless otherwise provided by law.
 - b. Case investigation files shall be retained for a period of time as defined by law, CBA, or the Chief.

M. Officer Police Officer Standards and Training Council (POSTC) DeCertification Issues

1. Public Act 20-1, through POSTC General Notice 20-09, has provided guidance regarding Officer Decertification guidelines related to officer discipline.
2. Decertification Requests Made by the Department

- a. The POSTC Certification Division will only review requests for suspension, cancellation, or revocation that are received from the Chief of Police.
 - i. Cases referred to the POSTC Certification Division by the public, shall be referred to the Department for further review.
- b. The POST Council Certification Division shall require the following documentation:
 - i. A cover letter from the Chief of Police detailing conduct reasonably believed to be grounds for cancellation, revocation, or suspension;
 - ii. Investigative Affairs Reports and Findings;
 - iii. If available, Labor Board Findings;
 - iv. Transcripts of Interviews;
 - v. If the alleged conduct is related to a criminal investigation, all case reports, audio, and video, including MVR/Body Cam footage, unless the release of such documentation, shall be prejudicial to the administration of justice/prosecution;
 - vi. If the alleged conduct is related to a violation of the Alvin W. Penn Racial Profiling Prohibition Act, all information as required under C.G.S. §54-1m(b)(1); or
 - vii. Any other documentation requested by the POSTC Certification Division.
3. Mandatory Reporting to POSTC. The Department shall report to the POSTC Certification Division any violation where:
 - a. An officer has been found to have used unreasonable, excessive, or illegal force that caused serious physical injury or the death of another person or to have used unreasonable, excessive, or illegal force that was likely to cause serious physical injury or death to another person;
 - b. An officer has been found by the Department, while acting in a law enforcement capacity, to have failed to intervene or stop the use of unreasonable, excessive, or illegal force by another police officer that caused serious physical injury or death to another person, or unreasonable, excessive, or illegal force that was likely to cause serious physical injury or death to another person, or to notify a supervisor and submit a written report of such acts where the holder has personal knowledge of such acts

and the ability to prevent such act;

- c. An officer has been found by the Department to have intentionally intimidated or harassed another person based upon actual or perceived protected class membership, identity, or expression and in doing so threatens to commit or causes physical injury to another person;
- d. An officer has been found to have been terminated, dismissed, resigned, or retired pursuant to the provisions of [C.G.S. §7-291c](#).

4. POSTC Grounds for Suspension, Cancellation or Revocation

- a. POSTC Certification was issued in error, through fraud, or with falsified documents;
- b. An officer was found guilty of a felony or found not guilty of a felony due to mental disease or defect;
- c. An officer has been found to have used a firearm in an improper manner which resulted in the death or serious physical injury of another person;
- d. An officer has been found to have engaged in conduct that [undermines public confidence](#) in law enforcement, including, discriminatory conduct, falsification of reports or a violation of the Alvin W. Penn Racial Profiling Prohibition Act pursuant to C.G.S. [§54-11](#) and [§54-1m](#);
- e. An officer has been found to have used physical force on another person in a manner that is excessive or used physical force in a manner found to not be justifiable after an investigation conducted pursuant to [C.G.S. §51-277a](#).

5. Conduct that Undermines the Public Confidence

- a. Discriminatory Conduct
 - i. Intentional acts of bigotry and bias
 - ii. An act or acts that constitute Sexual Harassment
 - iii. Conduct that constitutes racial profiling
- b. Abuse of Power
 - i. Inappropriate benefits
 - ii. Inappropriate relationships

- c. Untruthfulness and Lack of Integrity
 - i. Intentional acts of dishonesty
 - ii. Falsification of reports
 - iii. Intentional disregard for rules and regulations of the Department
- d. Failure to Intervene

N. Training

The Department will continue to provide training to all officers on the citizen complaint process and the appropriate responses in handling citizen complaints, as developed and administered by the Chief of Police or designee.